#### NICHOLAS ORESKO COMMUNITY SCHOOL

District: BAYONNE CITY School Identification:

County: HUDSON Targeted Subgroup

Team: NA CDS: 170220140

# Annual School Planning 2021-2022

## ASP Development Team Members

Stakeholder Representative Title	Name	Comprehensive Analysis and Needs	Root Cause Analysis	Smart Goal Development	Signature	Date
Principal	Charles Costello	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Counselor	Tara Furmaniak	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Teacher	Samantha Christie	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Teacher	Lorena Quinonez	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Teacher	Nancy Jaros	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Teacher	Kathryn Kim	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Teacher/Technology	Trisha Holden	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Parent/Community	Sharon Yuscavitch	Yes	Yes	Yes		

NA

Stakeholder Representative Title	Name	Comprehensive Analysis and Needs	Root Cause Analysis	Smart Goal Development	Signature	Date
Paraprofessional	Annalisa Spano	Yes	Yes	Yes		

## ASP Development Team Meetings

Date	Topic	Agenda Uploaded	Minutes Uploaded
05/04/2021	Prior Year Evaluation	Yes	Yes
05/06/2021	Comprehensive Data Analysis and Needs Assessment	Yes	Yes
05/11/2021	Priority Performance Needs and Root Cause Analysis	Yes	Yes
05/14/2021	Smart Goal Development	Yes	Yes

## Evaluation of Prior Year Interventions and Data Analysis



#### PRIOR YEAR INTERVENTIONS

Analysis of Key Interventions	Content Area	Target Populations	Was this key interventio n implemente d as planned?	Do you plan to continue with this intervention?	Do you have evidence this intervention was effective?	Measurable Outcomes (state the data that supports the continuation of this intervention)
IXL	Mathemati cs	All Students	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hispanic and economically disadvantaged sub-groups did not meet targets in Mathematics according to the NJ School Performance Summary Report.
AM Tutorial Program	Mathemati cs	Struggling Students	Yes	Yes	Yes	Students are recommended for this Math program to reintroduce and reinforce mathematic skills.
iReady	Mathemati cs/Languag e Arts	All Students	Yes	Yes	Yes	Students are assessed with I-Ready three times a year
Newsela	Language Arts	All Students	Yes	Yes	Yes	Expose students to informational text on students reading level.

	STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT									
Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data	Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends						

Data Source	Source	Prepopulated D						Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends			
NJSLA Proficiency*	Consider comparing previous year's and current year's NJSLA results in the noted subject	Student Group Schoolwide	80.6	Mat h 73.4%	Alg1	Alg2	Geo	There is no additional data available at this time.	On a school-wide level the target score for English Language			
	areas. <a achievem<="" education="" gov="" href="http://www.nj." schools="" td=""><td>White Hispanic</td><td>% 85.2 % 65.4 %</td><td>79.5%</td><td>100%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Arts/Literacy was met for the 2018-2019 school year.</td></a>	White Hispanic	% 85.2 % 65.4 %	79.5%	100%				Arts/Literacy was met for the 2018-2019 school year.			
ent/ target="_blank">Link to website with access to reports.	Black or African American Asian, Native	75% 88.9	68.4% 92.6%	*				However, on a school-wide level the target score for Mathematics was not met.  All sub-groups met the				
	Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander American Indian or Alaska Native	%					Was n  All su target					
	Two or More Races	80%	66.7%	*								
	Female	85.5 %	74.3%	100%				target for English Language Arts/Literacy				
		Male	75.4 %	72.4%	100%			for the 2018-201 school year.  The following sul groups did not m target for Mather				
		Economically Disadvantaged Students	67.8 %	59.2%	*							
		Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students	89.4 %	83.5%	*				groups did not meet the target for Mathematics			
		Students with Disabilities	11.1 %	11.1%					for the 2018-2019 school year:			
		Students without Disabilities	84.3	76.7%	100%							
	English Learners	52.4 %	53.8%	1000/				Economically Disadvantaged				
	Non-English Learners	82.4 %	74.9%	100%				Diodavarilagoa				
	Homeless Students											
	Students in Foster Care	*										
		Military-Connected Students	*	*								
		Migrant Students										

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data	Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulate	ed Data			Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
Science*	NJSLA Science Homepage, https://measinc-nj-science.com/		NJS	LA-S		There is no additional data at this time.	There is no additional data to support or analyze.
		Student Group	Grade 5	Grade 8	Grade 11		
		Schoolwide	73%	40%			
		White	79%	39%			
		Hispanic	31%	28%		-	
		Black or African				-	
		Asian, Native	93%	43%		-	
		American Indian or	*	*		-	
		Two or More Races				-	
		Female	76%	49%			
		Male	70%	28%		-	
		Economical ly	63%	20%		-	
		Non- Economical	79%	50%		_	
		Students with	*	*		- -	

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulate	ed Data			Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
		Student Group	Grade 5	Grade 8	Grade 11		
		Students without	73%	40%			
		English Learners	*				
		Non- English	73%				
		Homeless Students	*	*			
		Students in Foster Care	*	*			
		Military- Connected	*	*			
		Migrant Students	*	*			

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data			Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends	
SGP*	Student growth on state assessments. (Grades 4-8)	Student Group	ELA	Math	There is no additional data at this time.	There is no additional data at this time.	
	*Identify overall school wide growth performance by content. *Identify interaction between	Schoolwide	61%	60.5%			
	student proficiency level.	White	64%	59.5%			
		Hispanic	49%	48%			
		Black or African American	66%	57%			
		Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific	63%	78%			
		American Indian or Alaska Native					
		Two or More Races	61%	64%			
		Female	63%	54%			
		Male	56%	66%			
		Economically Disadvantaged	61.5%	60%			
		Non-Economically Disadvantaged					
		Students with Disabilities	70%	37.5%			
		Students without Disabilities					

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data			Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
		Student Group	ELA	Math		
		English Learners	75.5%	*		
		Non-English Learners				
		Homeless Students				
		Students in Foster Care				
		Military-Connected Students	*	*		
		Migrant Students				
					-	

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopu	ılated Data	ì			Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
Benchmark Assessment				ELA			There is no additional data at this time.	Data monitored by the individual classroom teacher.
Participation		Grade	Cycle 1	Cyclle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4		teacher.
		K	100%	100%	100%	100%		
	1	100%	100%	100%	100%			
	2	100%	100%	100%	100%			
		3	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		4	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		5	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		6	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		7	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		8	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		9	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		10	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		11	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopu	lated Data	a			Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
		Grade	Cycle 1	Cyclle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4		
		12	0%	0%	0%	0%		
			Math					
		Grade	Grade Cycle 1 Cycle 2 Cycle 3 Cycle 4					
		К	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		1	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		2	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		3	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		4	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		5	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		6	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		7	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		8	100%	100%	100%	100%		
		9	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopu					Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
		Grade	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4		
		10	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		11	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		12	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopu	lated Data				Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
Benchmark Assessment	Assessment of % passing, including YTD analysis by grades and	Grade	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	There is no additional data at this time.	Due to virtual learning benchmark scores showed difference from
ELA Rates*		K	70%	100%	78%	79%		in- person learning to virtual learning.
	grade/subgroups *Identify patterns by chronic	1	42%	46%	58%	57%		Data was monitored by
	absenteeism *Identify patterns by students with chronic disciplinary	2	42%	42%	65%	66%	the individual c teacher.	the individual classroom teacher.
	infractions	3	72%	71%	36%	38%	-	
		4	77%	67%	55%	56%		
		5	86%	71%	81%	83%		
		6	78%	79%	87%	87%	-	
		7	61%	65%	67%	70%	-	
		8	62%	62%	94%	94%	-	
		9	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		10	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	
		11	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		12	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopu	lated Data				Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
Benchmark Assessment Of % passing, including YTD	Grade	Cycle 1	Cycle 2	Cycle 3	Cycle 4	There is no additional data at this time.	Due to virtual learning benchmark scores showed difference from	
Math Rates*	(Proficiency) analysis by grades and subgroups. *Identify patterns by	K	30%	83%	91%	90%		in- person learning to virtual learning.
	grade/subgroups *Identify patterns by chronic	1	46%	42%	42%	44%		Data was monitored by
	absenteeism *Identify patterns by students with chronic disciplinary	2	29%	42%	53%	54%	the individual class	the individual classroom teacher.
	with chronic disciplinary infractions	3	52%	74%	58%	61%	_	
		4	82%	82%	61%	61%		
		5	97%	92%	100%	94%	_	
		6	83%	85%	96%	96%	_	
		7	80%	86%	85%	86%		
		8	78%	79%	86%	87%	_	
		9	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		10	0%	0%	0%	0%	_	
		11	0%	0%	0%	0%		
		12	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data		Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
English Language Proficiency	Student progress to English Language Proficiency (Grades K- 12).	Percent of English Learners Making Expected Growth to	-2%	There is not additional data at this time.	Data was monitored by the classroom teacher.
(ELP)*	12).				



		CLIMAT	E & CULTURE				
Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data		Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends		
Enrollment*	Number of students enrolled in your building *Identify overall enrollment trends	Overall YTD Student Enrollment Average	444	N/A	Student attendance at Nicholas Oresko School is very good.		
	*Identify enrollment by grade and subgroup	Subgroup 1 YTD Student Enrollment Average	0				
		Subgroup 2 YTD Student Enrollment Average	0				
Attendance Rate (Students)*	The average daily attendance for students in your building *Identify patterns by grade *Identify patterns by teacher	Overall YTD Student Attendance Average	00.400/		Student attendance at Nicholas Oresko School is very good.		
	*Identify interventions	Subgroup 1 YTD Student	0.00%				
		Subgroup 2 YTD Student Attendance Average	0.00%				

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data		Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends		
Chronic Chronic absenteeism is defined as the percentage of students (Students)* who are absent 10% or more of	Overall YTD Chronic Absenteeism	0.00%	Not applicable.	Attendance officer monitors student attendance through			
,	the days between the start of school to the current date ("year to date") and includes both excused and unexcused absences. For chronic absenteeism for students in	Subgroup 1 YTD Chronic	0.00%		Realtime. The attendance officer visits homes and		
		Subgroup 2 YTD Chronic Absenteeism	0.00%		takes families to court as needed.		
	your building *Identify patterns by grade *Identify patterns by teacher *Identify interventions						
Attendance Rate (Staff)*	The average daily attendance for staff *Identify patterns by grade	Staff Attendance 95.95%		Not applicable	Staff attendance at Nicholas Oresko School is very good.		
	*Identify chronic absenteeism *Identify reasons for absenteeism				vory good.		

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data		Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends	
Discipline*	The number of suspensions, expulsions, and incident reports  *Identify types of incidents *Identify patterns by subgroup	Student Suspension YTD Average - In School	0.00%	Not applicable.	Zero suspensions as of May 15, 2021.	
*Identify patterns by subgroup *Identify chronic offenders	Student Suspension YTD Average - In School for Subgroup 1	0.00%				
		Student Suspension YTD Average - In School for Subgroup 2	0.00%			
		Student Suspension YTD Average - Out of School	0.00%			
	Student Suspension YTD Average - Out of School for Subgroup 1	0.00%				
	Student Suspension YTD Average - Out of School for Subgroup 2	0.00%				

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data	Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
Climate & Culture Surveys	Results from surveys *Identify staff satisfaction and support *Identify perception of the environment *Identify perceptions of students *Identify perceptions of family		Not applicable	Not applicable

		COLLEGE & CAR	EER READ	INESS		
Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data			Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
Graduation Cohort (HS ONLY)	What interventions are	Student Group	5 Year Rate	4 Year Rate	N/A	N/A
	in place for students at risk? Examples of what	Schoolwide				
	could cause a student to be at	White				
	risk: * under credited * chronically	Hispanic				
	absent  * frequent	Black or African American				
	suspension (* - Data	Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander				
	suppressed)	American Indian or Alaska Native				
		Two or More Races				
		Economically Disadvantaged Students				
		Students with Disabilities				
		English Learners				
		Homeless Students				
		Students in Foster Care				

Data Source	Factors to Consider	Prepopulated Data		Your Data (Provide any additional data	Observations / Trends
Post-Secondary Rates	% of students that enroll in post-secondary institution.			N/A	N/A
College Readiness Test Participation	Percentage of students enrolled in the 12th grade who took the SAT or ACT and the percentage of students enrolled in 10th and 11th grade who took the PSAT			N/A	Not applicable
Algebra	Previous year's data provided. Please provide current year's	# of 8th grade students enrolled in Algebra 1 % of students with a C or better	37	N/A	100% of students enrolled in Algebra achieved academic success.
	data if possible.	Count of students who took the Algrbra section of PARCC	36		
		% of students who scored 4 or 5 on the PARCC assessment	100%		



	EVALUATION INFORMATION								
Data Source	Factors to Consider	Your Data (Prepop where Possible)	ulated	Your Data (Provide only additional data	Observations / Trends				
Classroom Observations	Teacher practice as measured on state-approved teacher practice	Evaluation framework	Danielson	N/A	N/A				
	instrument *Identify % of teachers on CAP in the previous school year	Observation Waiver?	No						
*Identify instructional trends		# Teachers to Evaluate	29						
	needs	# Non-tenure teachers (years 1 & 2)	6						
		# Non-tenure teachers (years 3 & 4)	2						
		# Teachers on CAP	0						
		# Teachers receiving mSGP	10						
		Observations	Total						
		# Scheduled	64						
		# Completed	64						
		# Highly Effective	28						
		# Effective	36						

Data Source	Factors to Consider			Your Data (Provide only additional data	Observations / Trends
		Observations	Total		
		# Partially Effective	0		
		# Ineffective	0		



	OTHER INDICATORS					
Data Source	Factors to Consider	Your Data (Provide any additional data necessary)	Observations / Trends			
Intervention Support	Additional Title I support for all grade levels.	Nicholas Oresko School is understaffed when it comes to interventions. The school would benefit from a full time Reading Coach, Mathematics Coach, Behaviorialist and additional Title I teachers in all grade levels.	There are more students entering the school system with academic needs and behavioral issues. These students would benefit from further support services.			
Parent/Family Engagement	Funding for additional Parent Workshops	Additional parent workshops would provide parents with the support they need to help their children.	There are more students entering the school system with academic needs and behavioral issues. These parents would benefit from further support services.			

## Process Questions and Growth and Reflection Tool

Component	Indic Leve		Descriptor	Overall Strengths Summary	Areas of Focus Summary		
Standards, Student	1	А	3-Developing	Standards and student learning objectives	Effective instructional stragegies		
Learning Objectives (SLOs), and Effective	2	А	4-Sustaining				
Instruction	3	А	4-Sustaining				
	4	А	3-Developing				
	5	А	3-Developing				
Assessment	1	Α	4-Sustaining	Common summative assessments	Common preps		
	2	А	3-Developing				
	3	А	3-Developing				
Professional Learning	1	Α	3-Developing	Grade level and content instructional teams	Collaboration		
Community (PLC)	2	А	3-Developing	meet regularly			
	3	А	4-Sustaining				
	4	A	3-Developing				

Component	Indicate Level	or Descriptor	Overall Strengths Summary	Areas of Focus Summary
Culture	1	A 4-Sustaining	Fostering respect and positive relationships	Consistency
	2	A 3-Developing		
	3	A 4-Sustaining		
	4	A 4-Sustaining	7	
	5	A 4-Sustaining		
	6	A 3-Developing		
	7	A 3-Developing		
	8	A 4-Sustaining		
	9	A 3-Developing		
	10	A 3-Developing		
	11	A 3-Developing		
	12	A 3-Developing		
	13	A 3-Developing		
	14	A 3-Developing	7	
Teacher and Principal Effectiveness	1	A 4-Sustaining	Evaluations are conducted on schedule and followed by meaningful dialogue	Supoporting new and weak teachers

## Priority Performance Needs and Root Cause Analysis

Area of Focus for SMART Goals	Priority Performance Need	Possible Root Causes (Based upon the CNA and data analysis, what factors are most likely to have contributed to this	Targeted Subgroup (s)		Strategies to Address Challenge (What does the root cause imply for next steps in improvement planning?)
Effective Instruction	To create an environment of multi- tiered system of support in order for students to be successful.	Challenges faced by varying student learning styles and student personal backgrounds.	All Students	2	Provide students with access to support that promotes skills and abilities.  Track students throughout the year and utilize a variety of strategies that address their individual learning styles.
Climate and Culture, including Social and	To create an environment that provides students with the social and emotional supports in order for	Challenges faced by a diverse student population and the varying degrees of student needs.	All Teachers and Students	1	Creation of Social Emotional Learning Team with school counselor and cross grade level
Emotional Learning	students to grow both academically and socially.			2	staff representation.  Support for teachers will be provided to help them provide an environment that will address students social and emotional needs.
				3	Implementation of a social emotional learning program across all disciplines.

Area of Focus for SMART Goals	Priority Performance Need	Possible Root Causes (Based upon the CNA and data analysis, what factors are most likely to have contributed to this	Targeted Subgroup (s)		Strategies to Address Challenge (What does the root cause imply for next steps in improvement planning?)
College and Career Readiness	To create an learning environment that emphasizes a STEM approach in order to create critical thinkers, increase science literacy, and enables the next generation of innovators.	Challenges in shifting from traditional activities to STEM based activities.	All Teachers and Students	2	Creation of a STEM Team.  Creation of goals, sample lessons, and rubrics that will be used by staff members.
				3	Creation of a catalogue of learning activities and resources project based learning activities.
No option for the fourth SMART Goal was selected on				1	
the Root Cause page.				2	
				3	

## **SMART Goal 1**

A multi-tiered system of support (IReady, IXL, Raz Kids, Newsela & Differentiation) will be utilized to provide support to all students.

Priority Performance To create an environment of multi-tiered system of support in order for students to be successful.

Strategy 1: Provide students with access to support that promotes skills and abilities.

Strategy 2: Track students throughout the year and utilize a variety of strategies that address their individual learning styles.

Strategy 3:

Target Population: All Students

#### Interim Goals

End of Cycle	Interim Goal	Source(s) of Evidence
Nov 15	Teachers will utilize interactive programs (IXL, iReady, Raz Kids, Newsela) to assist in diagnosing and supporting student growth. Teachers will include a PDP goal for Differentiation for all students in their PDPs. PLCs will be utilized to develop action plans to foster multi-tiered systems of support.	Teacher Professional Development Plans, PLC Agendas, Analysis of student skills from interactive programs.
Feb 15	ARMs program for students in need of remediation. Completion of Professional Development Plan Mid-Year check-in.	Identification of students for the ARMs program. Completion of the Professional Development Plan Mid-Year check-in.
Apr 15	PLCs will continue. Teachers will reflect on the effectiveness of multi-tiered interventions being used. ARMs teachers will complete a survey, reflecting on the program at its conclusion.	PLC Agendas ARMs teachers' survey
Jul 1	A multi-tiered system of support (IReady, IXL, Raz Kids, Newsela & Differentiation) will be utilized to provide support to all students.	Competed Teacher Professional Development Plans for the 2021-20122 school year. PLC Agendas

## **Action Steps**

#### SMART Goal 1

Step Numbe	Strategy	Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Assigned To
1	2	Data Team will review and analyze student data from interactive programs.	11/16/21	3/15/22	
1	1	Creation and implementation of teachers' Professional Development Plan with Differentiation for all students goal.	9/2/21	4/15/22	
1	2	Initiate review of student data to drive PLCs and continue multiple tiered system of support.	11/16/21	4/15/22	

## **Budget Items**

Correspondin g Action Step	Resource / Description	Funding Category / Object Code	Funding Requested	Funding Source
1	ARMs Teachers Salaries	INSTRUCTION - Personnel Services - Salaries / 100-100	\$7,000	Federal Title I (School Allocation)
1	ARMs Supplies	SUPPORT SERVICES - Supplies & Materials / 200-600	\$500	Federal Title I (School Allocation)

#### **SMART Goal 2**

To develop respect for one's self and others, responsibility for one's actions, good decision making skills, and self-awareness in all students through Social Emotional Learning.

Priority Performance To create an environment that provides students with the social and emotional supports in order for students to grow both

academically and socially.

Strategy 1: Creation of Social Emotional Learning Team with school counselor and cross grade level staff representation.

Strategy 2: Support for teachers will be provided to help them provide an environment that will address students social and emotional needs.

Strategy 3: Implementation of a social emotional learning program across all disciplines.

Target Population: All Teachers and Students

#### Interim Goals

End of Cycle	Interim Goal	Source(s) of Evidence
Nov 15	The Social/Emotional Learning Team, led by the School Counselor will develop a plan regarding the implementation of monthly SEL activities to develop respect for one's self and others, responsibility for one's actions, good decision making skills, and self-awareness. The team will communicate the SEL program to the school community.	Social/Emotional Learning Team Meeting dates and sign-in sheets. List of monthly SEL goals.
Feb 15	The school counselor will share information concerning the school's SEL program. Teachers will reflect on their implementation of monthly SEL activities. Teachers will review progress and complete a survey regarding the instruction and implementation process of SEL activities.	Social/Emotional Learning Team Meeting dates and sign-in sheets Teacher Survey on SEL Results of Survey



End of	Interim Goal	Source(s) of Evidence
Cycle		
Apr 15	Continue implementation of the Social Emotional Learning program to create an	Social/Emotional Learning Team
	environment that provides students with the social and emotional supports in	Meeting dates and sign-in sheets
	order for students to grow both academically and socially. Social/Emotional	SEL incorporated into school activities
	Learning will be embedded a variety of school activities.	
Jul 1	To develop respect for one's self and others, responsibility for one's actions,	Social/Emotional Team digital file of compiled list
	good decision making skills, and self-awareness in all students through Social	of strategies and goals that were employed and
	Emotional Learning.	student created artifacts.

## **Action Steps**

#### SMART Goal 2

Step Numbe	Strategy	Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Assigned To
1	3	Implementation of Social/Emotional Learning through student activities	12/1/21	4/15/22	
1	1	Implementation of Social/Emotional Learning Team. Creation of monthly goals to support the program.	9/2/21	11/16/21	
1	2	Review of Social/Emotional Goals. Make changes to the program as needed.	11/16/21	2/15/22	

## **Budget Items**

Correspondin g Action Step	Resource / Description	Funding Category / Object Code	Funding Requested	Funding Source
1	Social/Emotional Learning Teachers Salaries	INSTRUCTION - Personnel Services - Salaries / 100-100	\$1,000	ESSER/ESSER II/ARP

Correspondin g Action Step	Resource / Description	Funding Category / Object Code	Funding Requested	Funding Source
2	Social /Emotional Learning Curriculum Supplies	SUPPORT SERVICES - Purchased Professional & Technical Services / 200-300	\$8,000	ESSER/ESSER II/ARP

### **SMART Goal 3**

Facilitate the shift from more traditional learning to project based learning by providing STEM enrichment activities for all students geared to promote critical thinking and problem solving skills.

Priority Performance To create an learning environment that emphasizes a STEM approach in order to create critical thinkers, increase science literacy,

and enables the next generation of innovators.

Strategy 1: Creation of a STEM Team.

Strategy 2: Creation of goals, sample lessons, and rubrics that will be used by staff members.

Strategy 3: Creation of a catalogue of learning activities and resources project based learning activities.

Target Population: All Teachers

and Students

#### **Interim Goals**

End of Cycle	Interim Goal	Source(s) of Evidence
Nov 15	Create a STEM Team. The team will a STEM program including goals and activities for the upcoming school year.	List of STEM Team members Sign-In Sheets, Agendas and Minutes Activities
Feb 15	Development of STEM catalogue of activities and resources for project based learning.	List of STEM Team members Sign-In Sheets, Agendas and Minutes Catalogue Activities
Apr 15	Utilization of STEM activities in the classrooms.	Classroom STEM Forms
Jul 1	Facilitate the shift from more traditional learning to project based learning by providing STEM enrichment activities for all students geared to promote critical thinking and problem solving skills.	Classroom STEM Forms Teacher Survey Results

## **Action Steps**

#### SMART Goal 3

Step Numbe	Strategy	Action Steps	Start Date	End Date	Assigned To
1	1	Establishment of building STEM Team. Development of STEM activities.	9/2/21	11/17/21	
2	2	Creation of STEM Catalogue with goals, activities, and rubrics for all classrooms.	11/23/21	2/2/22	
3	3	Utilization of STEM activities in all classrooms.	2/3/22	4/15/22	

## **Budget Items**

Correspondin g Action Step	Resource / Description	Funding Category / Object Code	Funding Requested	Funding Source
2	Lego Kits	INSTRUCTION - Supplies & Materials / 100-600	\$1,000	State/Local
2	Ozobots SUPPLIES	INSTRUCTION - Supplies & Materials / 100-600	\$1,000	State/Local

## **SMART Goal 4**

**Priority Performance** 

Strategy 1:

Strategy 2:

Apr 15

Jul 1

Strategy 3:							
Target Population:							
Interim Goal							
End of Cycle	Interim Goal	Source(s) of Evidence					
Nov 15							
Feb 15							

< SMART Goal 4 - Action Steps: NO DATA >

< SMART Goal 4 - Budget Items: NO DATA >

## Other Title 1 Expenditures

Resource / Description	Start Date	End Date	Assigned To	Funding Category /	Funding Requested	Funding Resource
TITLE 1 INSTRUCTIONAL SALARIES	9/1/21	6/30/22	BUILDING	INSTRUCTION - Personnel Services - Salaries / 100- 100	\$118,281.00	Federal Title I (School
TITLE 1 INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPLIES	9/1/21	6/30/22	BUILDING	INSTRUCTION - Supplies & Materials / 100- 600	\$8,057.00	Federal Title I (School
TITLE I NON INSTRUCTION SPLS	9/1/21	6/30/22	BUILDING	SUPPORT SERVICES - Supplies & Materials / 200- 600	\$4,160.00	Federal Title I (School

# **Budget Summary**

Budget	Sub	Function	State/Local	Federal Title	Federal	Federal	Federal	Other	SIA (If	SIA	TOTAL
Category	Category	& Object	Budget for	I (Priority /	Title I	Title I	CARES -	Federal	Applicabl	Carryove	
		Code	School	Focus	(School	(Reallocate	ESSER	Funds Allocated	e)	r	
				Intervention	Allocation)	d Funds)	Funds	to School	Allocated		
				s Reserve)					to School		
INSTRUCTION	Personnel	100-100	\$0	\$0	\$24,000	\$0	\$145,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$169,00
	Services -										0
INOTOLICTION	Salaries	100.000	0	Φ0	00	Φ0	Φ0	#0	Φ0	0.0	<b>#</b> 0
INSTRUCTION	Purchased	100-300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Professional & Technical										
	Services										
INSTRUCTION	Other	100-500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Purchased	100 000	Ψ σ	Ψ**		Ψ3	••			•	
	Services										
INSTRUCTION	Supplies &	100-600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Materials										
INSTRUCTION	Other	100-800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
INOTINOOTION	Objects	100 000	Ψ <sup>0</sup>	Ψ <sup>0</sup>	Ψ	Ψ	Ψο	••	Ψ	40	Ι ΨΟ
INSTRUCTION	Sub-total		\$0	\$0	\$24,000	\$0	\$145,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$169,00
											0
SUPPORT	Personnel	200-100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SERVICES	Services -									.	
	Salaries										
SUPPORT	Personnel	200-200	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SERVICES	Services -										
	Employee										
CLIDDODT	Benefits	200,200	<u> </u>	<b></b>	00	Φ0	Φ0	0	Φ0	<b>C</b> O	0
SUPPORT SERVICES	Purchased Professional	200-300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SERVICES	& Technical										
	Services										
SUPPORT	Purchased	200-400	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SERVICES	Property	200 100	**				••				
	Services										

Budget Category	Sub Category	Function & Object Code	State/Local Budget for School	Federal Title I (Priority / Focus Intervention s Reserve)	Federal Title I (School Allocation)	Federal Title I (Reallocate d Funds)	Federal CARES - ESSER Funds	Other Federal Funds Allocated to School	SIA (If Applicabl e) Allocated to School	SIA Carryove r	TOTAL
SUPPORT SERVICES	Other Purchased Services	200-500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUPPORT SERVICES	Travel	200-580	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUPPORT SERVICES	Supplies & Materials	200-600	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$4,000	\$18,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$52,000
SUPPORT SERVICES	Other Objects	200-800	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUPPORT SERVICES	Indirect Costs	200-860	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SUPPORT SERVICES	Sub-total		\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$4,000	\$18,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$52,000
FACILITIES	Buildings	400-720	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FACILITIES	Instructional Equipment	400-731	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FACILITIES	Noninstructi onal Equipment	400-732	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
FACILITIES	Sub-total		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SCHOOLWIDE	Schoolwide Blended	520-930	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SCHOOLWIDE	Sub-total		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Budget Category	Sub Category	Function & Object Code	State/Local Budget for School	Federal Title I (Priority / Focus Intervention s Reserve)	Federal Title I (School Allocation)	Federal Title I (Reallocate d Funds)	Federal CARES - ESSER Funds	Other Federal Funds Allocated to School	SIA (If Applicabl e) Allocated to School	SIA Carryove r	TOTAL
Total Cost			\$0	\$0	\$54,000	\$4,000	\$163,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$221,00 0

# Overview of Total Title 1 Expenditures

	Federal Title 1 (Priority/Focus Interventions	Federal Title 1 (School Allocation) Total	Federal Title 1 (Reallocated Funds)	TOTAL
Included in SMART Goal Pages	\$0	\$54,000	\$4,000	\$58,000
Other Title 1 Expenditures	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$54,000	\$4,000	\$58,000

# School Level Certification Page

х	The results of the Comprehensive Needs Assessment are included in the designated tabs. For designated Targeted Support and all Comprehensive Support schools, the Comprehensive Data Analysis and Needs Assessment process must be completed in collaboration, and with the concurrence of your Comprehensive Support Network (CSN) Team.						
х	The Annual School Plan requires a minimum of three SMART goals with an option to create a fourth. At least one of these goals must be developed with an area of focus "Effective Instruction." Goals must address the areas of priority performance needs identified during Comprehensive Needs Assessment process. Check all the SMART Goal areas included in your ASP.						
Х	Effective Instruction						
х	Climate and Culture, including Social and Emotional Learning						
Х	College and Career Readiness						
	No option for the fourth SMART Goal was selected on the Root Cause page.						
х	For Comprehensive Support and Targeted Support schools, the Annual School Plan includes evidence-based interventions to improve academic achievement for all students who are not yet performing on grade level, and all SIA funds will be used for evidence-based interventions that meet the requirements set forth in the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).						
Х	The Budget Summary includes all planned expenditures, as identified within the 'Budget Items' section of the SMART goal pages.						
х	This plan has been submitted for final review and approval by the District Business Administrator, Federal Programs Administrator, Chief School Administrator, and any other district personnel with responsibility for expenditures of federal funds to ensure all purchases and uses of funds (SIA, other Title I, other federal, and state/local) are reviewed and approved.						

Completed By: Charles Costello

Title: Principal

Date: 06/25/2021

## District Business Administrator or District Federal Programs Administrator Certification

	The Annual School Plan (ASP) has been reviewed by designated district-level personnel to ensure all services and proposed uses of
Х	funds meet the statutory and regulatory requirements as stipulated under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and 2 CFR Part 200.

I certify that I have reviewed this school's ASP and ensure proposed funding in the ASP is aligned with the ESEA Consolidated application in EWEG and used to address the school's priority performance needs.

For Comprehensive Support and Targeted Support schools only:

I certify I have completed and certified the required LEA Resource Equity Review.

Certified By: Dennis C. Degnan

Title: Assistant Superintendent of Schools for Federal and State

Date: 07/19/2021

## ASP District CSA Certification and Approval Page

	The Annual School Plan (ASP) has been reviewed by the District CSA/designated district-level personnel to ensure all services and
X	proposed uses of funds meet the statutory and regulatory requirements as stipulated under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and

I certify that I have reviewed this school's ASP and ensure proposed funding in the ASP is aligned with the ESEA Consolidated application in EWEG and used to address the school's priority performance needs.

Certified By: John J. Niesz

Title: Superintendent of Schools

Date: 07/20/2021